

Homogeneity property of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

Cornelia Schneider and Jan Vybíral

December 14, 2011

Abstract

We consider the classical Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces defined via differences and prove a homogeneity property for functions with bounded support in the frame of these spaces. As the proof is based on compact embeddings between the studied function spaces we present also some results on the entropy numbers of these embeddings. Moreover, we derive some applications in terms of pointwise multipliers.

2010 AMS Classification: 46E35, 47B06.

Keywords and Phrases: homogeneity, Besov spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, differences, pointwise multipliers, entropy numbers.

Introduction

The present note deals with classical Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined via differences, briefly denoted as B- and F-spaces in the sequel. We study the properties of the dilation operator, which is defined for every $\lambda > 0$ as

$$T_\lambda : f \rightarrow f(\lambda \cdot).$$

The norms of these operators on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces were studied already in [Bo83] and [ET96, Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2] with complements given in [Vyb08], [Sch09a], and [SV09].

We prove the so-called *homogeneity property*, showing that for $s > 0$ and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$,

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)|\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \lambda^{s-\frac{n}{p}} \|f|\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \quad (0.1)$$

for all $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and all

$$f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{supp } f \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq \lambda\}.$$

The same property holds true for the spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This extends and completes [CLT07], where corresponding results for the spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defined via Fourier-analytic tools, were established, which coincide with our spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $s > \max\left(0, n\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)\right)$. Concerning the corresponding F-spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the same homogeneity property had already been established in [Tri01, Cor. 5.16, p. 66].

Our results yield immediate applications in terms of pointwise multipliers. Furthermore, we remark that the homogeneity property is closely related with questions concerning refined localization, non-smooth atoms, local polynomial approximation, and scaling properties. This is out of our scope for the time being. But we use this property in the forthcoming paper [SV11] in connection with non-smooth atomic decompositions in function spaces.

Our proof of (0.1) is based on compactness of embeddings between the function spaces under investigation. Therefore we use this opportunity to present some closely related results on entropy numbers of such embeddings.

This note is organized as follows. We start with the necessary definitions and the results about entropy numbers in Section 1. Then we focus on equivalent quasi-norms for the elements of certain subspaces of $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, from which the homogeneity property will follow almost immediately in Section 2. The last section states some applications in terms of pointwise multipliers.

⁰The second author acknowledges the financial support provided by the START-award ‘‘Sparse Approximation and Optimization in High Dimensions’’ of the Fonds zur F3rderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF, Austrian Science Foundation).

1 Preliminaries

We use standard notation. Let \mathbb{N} be the collection of all natural numbers and let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let \mathbb{R}^n be Euclidean n -space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{C} the complex plane. The set of multi-indices $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is denoted by \mathbb{N}_0^n , with $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$, as usual. We use the symbol ' \lesssim ' in

$$a_k \lesssim b_k \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(x) \lesssim \psi(x)$$

always to mean that there is a positive number c_1 such that

$$a_k \leq c_1 b_k \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(x) \leq c_1 \psi(x)$$

for all admitted values of the discrete variable k or the continuous variable x , where $(a_k)_k$, $(b_k)_k$ are non-negative sequences and φ , ψ are non-negative functions. We use the equivalence ' \sim ' in

$$a_k \sim b_k \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(x) \sim \psi(x)$$

for

$$a_k \lesssim b_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_k \lesssim a_k \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(x) \lesssim \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) \lesssim \varphi(x).$$

If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $a_+ := \max(a, 0)$ and $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a .

Given two (quasi-) Banach spaces X and Y , we write $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if $X \subset Y$ and the natural embedding of X in Y is continuous. All unimportant positive constants will be denoted by c , occasionally with subscripts. For convenience, let both dx and $|\cdot|$ stand for the (n -dimensional) Lebesgue measure in the sequel. $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $0 < p \leq \infty$, stands for the usual quasi-Banach space with respect to the Lebesgue measure, quasi-normed by

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with the appropriate modification if $p = \infty$. Moreover, let Ω denote a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $L_p(\Omega)$ is the collection of all complex-valued Lebesgue measurable functions in Ω such that

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(with the usual modification if $p = \infty$) is finite.

Furthermore, B_R stands for an open ball with radius $R > 0$ around the origin,

$$B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $Q_{j,m}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ denote a cube in \mathbb{R}^n with sides parallel to the axes of coordinates, centered at $2^{-j}m$, and with side length 2^{-j+1} . For a cube Q in \mathbb{R}^n and $r > 0$, we denote by rQ the cube in \mathbb{R}^n concentric with Q and with side length r times the side length of Q . Furthermore, $\chi_{j,m}$ stands for the characteristic function of $Q_{j,m}$.

Function spaces defined via differences

If f is an arbitrary function on \mathbb{R}^n , $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(\Delta_h^1 f)(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta_h^{r+1} f)(x) = \Delta_h^1(\Delta_h^r f)(x)$$

are the usual iterated differences. Given a function $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the r -th modulus of smoothness is defined by

$$\omega_r(f, t)_p = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^r f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$d_{t,p}^r f(x) = \left(t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |(\Delta_h^r f)(x)|^p dh \right)^{1/p}, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

denotes its *ball means*.

Definition 1.1. (i) Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r > s$. Then the Besov space $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int_0^1 t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \quad (1.4)$$

(with the usual modification if $q = \infty$) is finite.

(ii) Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r > s$. Then $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \left(\int_0^1 t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (1.5)$$

(with the usual modification if $q = \infty$) is finite.

Remark 1.2. These are the *classical Besov* and *Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, in particular, when $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ($p < \infty$ for the F-spaces) and $s > 0$. We shall sometimes write $\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when both scales of spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are concerned simultaneously.

Concerning the spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the study for all admitted s , p and q goes back to [SO78], we also refer to [BS88, Ch. 5, Def. 4.3] and [DL93, Ch. 2, §10]. There are as well many older references in the literature devoted to the cases $p, q \geq 1$.

The approach by differences for the spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has been described in detail in [Tri83] for those spaces which can also be considered as subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Otherwise one finds in [Tri06, Section 9.2.2, pp. 386–390] the necessary explanations and references to the relevant literature.

Definition 1.1 is independent of r , meaning that different values of $r > s$ result in norms which are equivalent. This justifies our omission of r in the sequel. Moreover, the integrals \int_0^1 can be replaced by \int_0^∞ resulting again in equivalent quasi-norms, cf. [DP88, Sect. 2].

The spaces are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces if $p, q \geq 1$). Note that we deal with subspaces of $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in particular, for $s > 0$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, we have the embeddings

$$\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $0 < p \leq \infty$ ($p < \infty$ for F-spaces). Furthermore, the B-spaces are closely linked with the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces via

$$\mathbf{B}_{p,\min(p,q)}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p,\max(p,q)}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.6)$$

cf. [Sch09b, Prop. 1.19(i)]. The classical scale of Besov spaces contains many well-known function spaces. For example, if $p = q = \infty$, one recovers the Hölder-Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Recent results by HEDBERG, NETRUSOV [HN07] on atomic decompositions and by TRIEBEL [Tri06, Sect. 9.2] on the reproducing formula provide an equivalent characterization of Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using *subatomic decompositions*, which introduces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as those $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which can be represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m}^\beta k_{j,m}^\beta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with coefficients $\lambda = \{\lambda_{j,m}^\beta \in \mathbb{C} : \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ belonging to some appropriate sequence space $b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}$ defined as

$$b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho} := \left\{ \lambda : \|\lambda\|_{b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}} < \infty \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\|\lambda\|_{b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}} = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{\varrho|\beta|} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(s-n/p)q} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}^\beta|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.9)$$

$s > 0$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ (with the usual modification if $p = \infty$ and/or $q = \infty$), $\varrho \geq 0$, and $k_{j,m}^\beta(x)$ are certain standardized building blocks (which are universal). This subatomic characterization will turn out to be quite useful when studying entropy numbers.

In terms of pointwise multipliers in $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the following is known.

Proposition 1.3. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > s$, and let $h \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$f \longrightarrow hf$$

is a linear and bounded operator from $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

The proof relies on atomic decompositions of the spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. [Sch10a, Prop. 2.5]. We will generalize this result in Section 3 as an application of our homogeneity property.

Function spaces on domains Ω

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We define spaces $\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$ by restriction of the corresponding spaces on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. $\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ such that there is a $g \in \mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $g|_{\Omega} = f$. Furthermore,

$$\|f|_{\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)}\| = \inf \|g|_{\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $g \in \mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the restriction $g|_{\Omega}$ to Ω coincides in $L_p(\Omega)$ with f .

In particular, the subatomic characterization for the spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from Remark 1.2 carries over. For further details on this subject we refer to [Sch11b, Sect 2.1].

Embeddings results between the spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ hold also for the spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$, since they are defined by restriction of the corresponding spaces on \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, these results can be improved, if we assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to be bounded.

Proposition 1.4. Let $0 < s_2 < s_1 < \infty$, $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded. If

$$\delta_+ = s_1 - s_2 - d \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right)_+ > 0, \quad (1.10)$$

we have the embedding

$$\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega). \quad (1.11)$$

Proof : If $p_1 \leq p_2$ the embedding follows from [HS09, Th. 1.15], since the spaces on Ω are defined by restriction of their counterparts on \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore it remains to show that for $p_1 > p_2$ we have the embedding

$$\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega). \quad (1.12)$$

Let $\psi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support in the compact set Ω_1 and

$$\psi(x) = 1 \quad \text{if } x \in \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_1.$$

Then for $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)$, there exists $g \in \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$g|_{\Omega} = f \quad \text{and} \quad \|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)}\| \sim \|g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|.$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)}\| &\leq \|\psi g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \\ &\leq \|\psi g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \\ &\leq c_{\psi} \|g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \sim \|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

The last inequality in (1.13) follows from Proposition 1.3. In the 2nd step we used (1.4) together with the fact that

$$\|\Delta_h^r(\psi g)|_{L_{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \leq c_{\Omega_1} \|\Delta_h^r(\psi g)|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|, \quad p_1 > p_2,$$

which follows from Hölder's inequality since $\text{supp } \psi g \subset \Omega_1$ is compact. \square

Entropy numbers

In order to prove the homogeneity results later on we have to rely on the compactness of embeddings between B-spaces, $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$, and F-spaces, $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$, respectively. This will be established with the help of entropy numbers. We briefly introduce the concept and collect some properties afterwards.

Let X and Y be quasi-Banach spaces and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded linear operator. If additionally, T is continuous we write $T \in L(X, Y)$. Let $U_X = \{x \in X : \|x\|_X \leq 1\}$ denote the unit ball in the quasi-Banach space X . An operator T is called compact if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can cover the image of the unit ball U_X with finitely many balls in Y of radius ε .

Definition 1.5. Let X, Y be quasi-Banach spaces and let $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k th dyadic entropy number $e_k(T)$ of T is defined by

$$e_k(T) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : T(U_X) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} (y_j + \varepsilon U_Y) \text{ for some } y_1, \dots, y_{2^{k-1}} \in Y \right\},$$

where U_X and U_Y denote the unit balls in X and Y , respectively.

These numbers have various elementary properties which are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. Let X, Y and Z be quasi-Banach spaces, let $S, T \in L(X, Y)$ and $R \in L(Y, Z)$.

- (i) **(Monotonicity)** $\|T\| \geq e_1(T) \geq e_2(T) \geq \dots \geq 0$. Moreover, $\|T\| = e_1(T)$, provided that Y is a Banach space.
- (ii) **(Additivity)** If Y is a p -Banach space ($0 < p \leq 1$), then for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$e_{j+k-1}^p(S + T) \leq e_j^p(S) + e_k^p(T).$$

- (iii) **(Multiplicativity)** For all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$e_{j+k-1}(RT) \leq e_j(R)e_k(T).$$

- (iv) **(Compactness)** T is compact if, and only if,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k(T) = 0.$$

Remark 1.7. As for the general theory we refer to [EE87], [Pie87] and [Kön86]. Further information on the subject is also covered by the more recent books [ET96] and [CS90].

Some problems about entropy numbers of compact embeddings for function spaces can be transferred to corresponding questions in related sequence spaces. Let $n > 0$ and $\{M_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of natural numbers satisfying

$$M_j \sim 2^{jn}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.14)$$

Concerning entropy numbers for the respective sequence spaces $b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}(M_j)$, which are defined as the sequence spaces $b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}$ in (1.9) with the sum over $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ replaced by a sum over $m = 1, \dots, M_j$, the following result was proved in [Sch11a, Prop. 3.4]

Proposition 1.8. Let $d > 0$, $0 < \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < \infty$, and $0 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$. Furthermore, let $\varrho_1 > \varrho_2 \geq 0$,

$$0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - n \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) > 0. \quad (1.15)$$

Then the identity map

$$\text{id} : b_{p_1, q_1}^{\sigma_1, \varrho_1}(M_j) \rightarrow b_{p_2, q_2}^{\sigma_2, \varrho_2}(M_j) \quad (1.16)$$

is compact, where M_j is restricted by (1.14).

The next theorem provides a sharp result for entropy numbers of the identity operator related to the sequence spaces $b_{p,q}^{s,\varrho}(M_j)$.

Theorem 1.9. Let $n > 0$, $0 < s_1, s_2 < \infty$, and $0 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$. Furthermore, let $\varrho_1 > \varrho_2 \geq 0$,

$$0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = s_1 - s_2 - n \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) > 0. \quad (1.17)$$

For the entropy numbers e_k of the compact operator

$$\text{id} : b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}(M_j) \rightarrow b_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2, \varrho_2}(M_j) \quad (1.18)$$

we have

$$e_k(\text{id}) \sim k^{-\frac{\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 1.10. The proof of Theorem 1.9 follows from [Tri97, Th. 9.2]. Using the notation from this book we have

$$b_{p_i, q_i}^{s_i, \varrho_i}(M_j) = \ell_\infty \left[2^{\varrho_i} \ell_{q_i} \left(2^{j(s_i - \frac{n}{p_i})} \ell_{p_i}^{M_j} \right) \right], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Recall the embedding assertions for Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}_{p, q}^s(\Omega)$ from Proposition 1.4. We will give an upper bound for the corresponding entropy numbers of these embeddings. For our purposes it will be sufficient to assume $\Omega = B_R$.

Theorem 1.11. Let

$$0 < s_2 < s_1 < \infty, \quad 0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty, \quad 0 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty,$$

and

$$\delta_+ = s_1 - s_2 - n \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right)_+ > 0.$$

Then the embedding

$$\text{id} : \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega) \quad (1.19)$$

is compact and for the related entropy numbers we compute

$$e_k(\text{id}) \lesssim k^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.20)$$

Proof. Step 1: Let $p_2 \geq p_1$, $\delta_+ = \delta$, and let $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega)$, then by [DS93, Th. 6.1] there is a (nonlinear) bounded extension operator

$$g = \text{Ex } f \quad \text{such that} \quad \text{Re}_\Omega g = g|_\Omega = f \quad (1.21)$$

and

$$\|g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \leq c \|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega)}\|.$$

We may assume that g is zero outside a fixed neighbourhood Λ of Ω . Using the subatomic approach for $\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, cf. Remark 1.2, we can find an optimal decomposition of g , i.e.,

$$g(x) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j, m}^\beta k_{j, m}^\beta(x), \quad \|g|_{\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \sim \|\lambda|_{b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}}\| \quad (1.22)$$

with $\varrho_1 > 0$ large.

Let M_j for fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the number of cubes $Q_{j, m}$ such that

$$rQ_{j, m} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded we have

$$M_j \sim 2^{jn}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This coincides with (1.14). We introduce the (nonlinear) operator S ,

$$S : \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}(M_j)$$

by

$$Sg = \lambda, \quad \lambda = \left\{ \lambda_{j, m}^\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, rQ_{j, m} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

where g is given by (1.22). Recall that the expansion is not unique but this does not matter. It follows that S is a bounded map since

$$\|S\| = \sup_{g \neq 0} \frac{\|\lambda|b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}(M_j)\|}{\|g|\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\|} \leq c.$$

Next we construct the linear map T ,

$$T : b_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2, \varrho_2}(M_j) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

given by

$$T\lambda = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \lambda_{j,m}^\beta k_{j,m}^\beta(x).$$

It follows that T is a linear (since the subatomic approach provides an expansion of functions via universal building blocks) and bounded map,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{\|T\lambda|\mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\|}{\|\lambda|b_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2, \varrho_2}(M_j)\|} \leq c.$$

We complement the three bounded maps Ex , S , T by the identity operator

$$\text{id} : b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}(M_j) \rightarrow b_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2, \varrho_2}(M_j) \quad \text{with} \quad \varrho_1 > \varrho_2, \quad (1.23)$$

which is compact by Proposition 1.8 and the restriction operator

$$\text{Re}_\Omega : \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega),$$

which is continuous. From the constructions it follows that

$$\text{id}(\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)) = \text{Re}_\Omega \circ T \circ \text{id} \circ S \circ \text{Ex}. \quad (1.24)$$

Hence, taking finally Re_Ω we obtain f by (1.21), where we started from. In particular, due to the fact that we used the subatomic approach, the final outcome is independent of ambiguities in the nonlinear constructions Ex and S . The unit ball in $\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega)$ is mapped by $S \circ \text{Ex}$ into a bounded set in

$$b_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1, \varrho_1}(M_j).$$

Since the identity operator id from (1.23) is compact, this bounded set is mapped into a pre-compact set in

$$b_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2, \varrho_2}(M_j),$$

which can be covered by 2^k balls of radius $ce_k(\text{id})$ with

$$e_k(\text{id}) \leq ck^{-\frac{\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This follows from Theorem 1.9, where we used $p_2 \geq p_1$. Applying the two linear and bounded maps T and Re_Ω afterwards does not change this covering assertion – using Lemma 1.6(iii) and ignoring constants for the time being. Hence, we arrive at a covering of the unit ball in $\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega)$ by 2^k balls of radius $ce_k(\text{id})$ in $\mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)$. Inserting

$$\delta = s_1 - s_2 - n \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} \right)$$

in the exponent we finally obtain the desired estimate

$$e_k(\text{id}) \leq ck^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Step 2: Let $p_1 > p_2$. Since by Proposition 1.4,

$$\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_2}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega),$$

we see that

$$\mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{B}_{p_1, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{B}_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega),$$

and therefore (1.20) is a consequence of Step 1 applied to $p_1 = p_2$. This completes the proof for the upper bound. □

Remark 1.12. By (1.6) and the above definitions we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{p,\min(p,q)}^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{p,\max(p,q)}^s(\Omega). \quad (1.25)$$

In other words, any assertion about entropy numbers for B-spaces where the parameter q does not play any role applies also to the related F-spaces.

Therefore, using Lemma 1.6(iv) and Theorem 1.11 we deduce compactness of the corresponding embeddings related to B- and F-spaces under investigation.

2 Homogeneity

Our first aim is to prove the following characterization.

Proposition 2.1. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$ and let $R > 0$ be a real number. Then

$$\|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \sim \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_R$.

Proof. We shall need, that $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_R)$ embeds compactly into $L_p(B_R)$. This follows at once from the fact that $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_R)$ is compactly embedded into $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^{s-\varepsilon}(B_R)$, cf. Remark 1.12, and $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^{s-\varepsilon}(B_R) \hookrightarrow L_p(B_R)$, which is trivial.

We argue similarly to [CLT07]. We have to prove, that

$$\|f|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

for every $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_R$. Let us assume, that this is not true. Then we find a sequence $(f_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$\|f_j|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f_j, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{1}{j}, \quad (2.1)$$

i.e., we obtain that $\|f_j|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|$ is bounded. The trivial estimates

$$\|f_j|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = \|f_j|_{L_p(B_R)}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_j|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_R)}\| \leq \|f_j|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|$$

imply that this is true also for $\|f_j|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_R)}\|$. Due to the compactness of $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_R) \hookrightarrow L_p(B_R)$, we may assume, that $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L_p(B_R)$ with $\|f|_{L_p(B_R)}\| = 1$. Using the subadditivity of $\omega(\cdot, t)_p$, we obtain that

$$\left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f_j - f_{j'}, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{j'}.$$

Together with the estimate $\|f_j - f_{j'}|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \rightarrow 0$, this implies that $(f_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. $f_j \rightarrow g$ in $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Obviously, $f = g$ follows.

The subadditivity of $\omega(\cdot, t)_p$ used to the sum $(f - f_j) + f_j$ implies finally, that

$$\left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} = 0.$$

As $\omega_r(f, t)$ is a non-decreasing function of t , this implies that $\omega_r(f, t) = 0$ for all $0 < t < \infty$ and finally $\|\Delta_h^r f|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = 0$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By standard arguments, this is satisfied only if f is a polynomial of order at most r . Due to its bounded support, we conclude, that $f = 0$, which is a contradiction with $\|f|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = 1$. □

With the help of this proposition, the proof of homogeneity quickly follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_\lambda$. Then

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \sim \lambda^{s-n/p} \|f|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \quad (2.2)$$

with constants of equivalence independent of λ and f .

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)|\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f(\lambda \cdot), t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q},$$

as $\text{supp } f(\lambda \cdot) \subset B_1$. Using $\Delta_h^r(f(\lambda \cdot))(x) = (\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\lambda x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_r(f(\lambda \cdot), t)_p &= \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^r(f(\lambda \cdot))\|_p = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|(\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\lambda \cdot)\|_p = \lambda^{-n/p} \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|(\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\cdot)\|_p \\ &= \lambda^{-n/p} \sup_{|\lambda h| \leq \lambda t} \|(\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\cdot)\|_p = \lambda^{-n/p} \omega_r(f, \lambda t)_p, \end{aligned}$$

which finally implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f(\lambda \cdot), t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} &= \lambda^{-n/p} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, \lambda t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \lambda^{s-n/p} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} \omega_r(f, t)_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \sim \lambda^{s-n/p} \|f| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

The homogeneity property for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows similarly.

Proposition 2.3. Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $s > 0$ and let $R > 0$ be a real number. Then

$$\|f| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\|$$

for all $f \in \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_R$.

Proof. We have to prove that

$$\|f|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| \lesssim \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\|$$

for every $f \in \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_R$. Let us assume again, that this is not true. Then we find a sequence $(f_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f_j|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f_j(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{j},$$

which in turn implies, that $\|f_j| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$ is bounded. Again, the same is true also for $\|f_j| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(B_R)\|$. Due to the compactness of $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we may assume, that $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L_p(B_R)$ with $\|f|_{L_p(B_R)}\| = 1$. A straightforward calculation shows again that $(f_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, therefore, $f_j \rightarrow f$ also in $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Finally, we obtain

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\| = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(x)^q \frac{dt}{t} = 0$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, $d_{t,p}^r f(x) = 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and almost all $t > 0$. By standard arguments it follows that f must be almost everywhere equal to a polynomial of order smaller than r . Together with the bounded support of f , we obtain that f must be equal to zero almost everywhere. □

Theorem 2.4. Let $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $f \in \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B_\lambda$. Then

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \lambda^{s-n/p} \|f| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \quad (2.3)$$

with constants of equivalence independent of λ and f .

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)|\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r(f(\lambda \cdot))(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\|,$$

as $\text{supp } f(\lambda \cdot) \subset B_1$. Using $\Delta_h^r(f(\lambda \cdot))(x) = (\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\lambda x)$, we get using the substitution $\tilde{h} = \lambda h$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{t,p}^r(f(\lambda \cdot))(x) &= \left(t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |\Delta_h^r f(\lambda \cdot)(x)|^p dh \right)^{1/p} = \left(t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |(\Delta_{\lambda h}^r f)(\lambda x)|^p dh \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left((\lambda t)^{-n} \int_{|\tilde{h}| \leq \lambda t} |(\Delta_{\tilde{h}}^r f)(\lambda x)|^p d\tilde{h} \right)^{1/p} = d_{\lambda t,p}^r(f)(\lambda x), \end{aligned}$$

which finally implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r(f(\lambda \cdot))(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| = \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{\lambda t,p}^r f(\lambda \cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| \\ &= \lambda^s \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\lambda \cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| = \lambda^{s-n/p} \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq} d_{t,p}^r f(\cdot)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| \\ &\sim \lambda^{s-n/p} \|f| \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Pointwise multipliers

We briefly sketch an application of the above homogeneity results in terms of pointwise multipliers. A locally integrable function φ in \mathbb{R}^n is called a *pointwise multiplier* in $\mathbf{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$f \mapsto \varphi f$$

maps the considered space into itself. For further details on the subject we refer to [Tri92, pp. 201-206] and [RS96, Ch. 4]. Our aim is to generalize Proposition 1.3 as a direct consequence of Theorems 2.2, 2.4. Again let B_λ be the balls introduced in (1.1).

Corollary 3.1. Let $s > 0$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Let φ be a function having classical derivatives in $B_{2\lambda}$ up to order $1 + [s]$ with

$$|D^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq a \lambda^{-|\gamma|}, \quad |\gamma| \leq 1 + [s], \quad x \in B_{2\lambda},$$

for some constant $a > 0$. Then φ is a pointwise multiplier in $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)$,

$$\|\varphi f| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)\| \leq c \|f| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)\|, \quad (3.1)$$

where c is independent of $f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)$ and of λ (but depends on a).

Proof. By Proposition 1.3 the function $\varphi(\lambda \cdot)$ is a pointwise multiplier in $\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_1)$. Then (3.1) is a consequence of (2.2),

$$\|\varphi f| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)\| \sim \lambda^{-(s-\frac{n}{p})} \|\varphi f(\lambda \cdot)| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_1)\| \lesssim \lambda^{-(s-\frac{n}{p})} \|f(\lambda \cdot)| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_1)\| \sim \|f| \mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(B_\lambda)\|.$$

□

Remark 3.2. In terms of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we obtain corresponding results (assuming $p < \infty$) with the additional restriction on the smoothness parameter s that

$$s > n \left(\frac{1}{\min(p, q)} - \frac{1}{p} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

This follows from the fact that the analogue of Proposition 1.3 for F-spaces is established using an atomic characterization of the spaces $\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is only true if we impose (3.2), cf. [Tri06, Prop. 9.14].

References

- [BS88] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [Bo83] G. Bourdaud, Sur les opérateurs pseudo-différentiels à coefficients peu réguliers, Habilitation thesis, Université de Paris-Sud, Paris, 1983.
- [CLT07] A. M. Caetano, S. Lopes, and H. Triebel. A homogeneity property for Besov spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 5(2):123–132, 2007.
- [CS90] B. Carl and I. Stephani. *Entropy, compactness and the approximation of operators*, volume 98 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [DL93] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz. *Constructive approximation*, volume 303 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [DP88] R. A. DeVore and V. A. Popov. Interpolation of Besov spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 305(1):397–414, 1988.
- [DS93] R. A. DeVore and R. C. Sharpley. Besov spaces on domains in \mathbf{R}^d . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 335(2):843–864, 1993.
- [EE87] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans. *Spectral theory and differential operators*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1987. Oxford Science Publications.
- [ET96] D. E. Edmunds and H. Triebel. *Function spaces, entropy numbers, differential operators*, volume 120 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [HN07] L. I. Hedberg and Y. Netrusov. An axiomatic approach to function spaces, spectral synthesis, and Luzin approximation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 188(882):97p., 2007.
- [HS09] D. D. Haroske and C. Schneider. Besov spaces with positive smoothness on \mathbb{R}^n , embeddings and growth envelopes. *J. Approx. Theory*, 161(2):723–747, 2009.
- [Kön86] H. König. *Eigenvalue distribution of compact operators*, volume 16 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
- [Pie87] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s -numbers*, volume 13 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [RS96] Th. Runst and W. Sickel. *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 3 of *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
- [Sch09a] C. Schneider. On dilation operators in Besov spaces. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 22(1):111–128, 2009.
- [Sch09b] C. Schneider. Spaces of Sobolev type with positive smoothness on \mathbb{R}^n , embeddings and growth envelopes. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 7(3):251–288, 2009.
- [Sch10a] C. Schneider. Trace operators in Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 29(3):275–302, 2010.
- [Sch11a] C. Schneider. Trace operators on fractals, entropy and approximation numbers. *Georgian Math. J.*, 18(3): 549–575, 2011.
- [Sch11b] C. Schneider. Traces in Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on domains. *Math. Nachr.* 284(5-6): 572–586, 2011.
- [SV09] C. Schneider and J. Vybíral. On dilation operators in Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 41(2):139–162, 2009.
- [SV11] C. Schneider and J. Vybíral. Non-smooth atomic decompositions, traces on Lipschitz domains, and pointwise multipliers in function spaces. *Preprint*, 2011.

- [SO78] È. A. Storozhenko and P. Osval'd. Jackson's theorem in the spaces $L^p(\mathbf{R}^k)$, $0 < p < 1$. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, 19(4):888–901, 956, 1978.
- [Tri83] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*, volume 78 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [Tri92] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces II*, volume 84 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [Tri97] H. Triebel. *Fractals and spectra*, volume 91 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [Tri01] H. Triebel. *The structure of functions*, volume 97 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [Tri06] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces III*, volume 100 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [Vyb08] J. Vybíral. Dilation operators and sampling numbers. *J. of Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 6(1):17–46, 2008.

Cornelia Schneider
Applied Mathematics III
University Erlangen–Nuremberg
Cauerstraße 11
91058 Erlangen
Germany
schneider@am.uni-erlangen.de

Jan Vybíral
Austrian Academy of Sciences (RICAM)
Altenbergerstraße 69
A-4040 Linz
Austria
jan.vybiral@oeaw.ac.at