< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

SUMMARY 000

Modeling Financial Time Series: Multifractal Cascades and Rényi Entropy

Petr Jizba and Jan Korbel Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague



Interdisciplinary symposium on complex systems, Prague 12. 9. 2013

MOTIVATION



Figure : Multifractals in nature



MOTIVATION



Figure : Multifractals in finance

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

MOTIVATION

- scaling properties are one of the most important quantifiers of complexity in many systems, e.g. financial time series
- presence of scaling exponents points to the inner structure of the system, described through fractal, or multifractal analysis
- multiscaling systems have a tight relation with Generalized dimensions and consequently with Rényi entropy
- Multiplicative cascades provide a successful approach of creating such systems
- ► aim is to create time series and compare it with real financial series trough multifractal analysis

MULTIFRACTAL SPECTRUM

- ► discrete time series {x_j}^N_{j=1} in ℝ^D, j denotes discrete time moments with specific time lag s
- empirical probability of each region $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ is estimated as $p_i = \frac{\#\{x_i \in K_i\}}{N}$
- probabilities scale with the typical length as $p_i(s) \sim s^{\alpha}$
- regions with different scalings are identified and PDF of scaling exponents is assumed in form

 $p(\alpha)\mathbf{d}\alpha = \rho(\alpha)s^{-f(\alpha)}\mathbf{d}\alpha$

► $f(\alpha)$ - **Multifractal spectrum** = fractal dimension of the subset with scaling exponent α

MULTIFRACTAL SPECTRUM OF S&P 500



Figure : Multifractal spectrum of S&P 500

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 田 ト 人 田 ト

Э

500



SCALING FUNCTION AND RÉNYI ENTROPY

- ► alternative way of describing multifractality is via "Partition function": $Z_q(s) = \sum_i p_i^q(s) \sim s^{\tau(q)}$
- ► relation to $f(\alpha)$ is provided through Legendre transform $f(\alpha(q)) = q\alpha(q) \tau(q)$
- ► Scaling function τ(q) has a tight relation to Generalized dimension D_q = ^{τ(q)}/_{q-1} and Rényi entropy

$$S_q(s) = \frac{1}{q-1} \ln \sum_i p_i^q(s) = \frac{\ln Z_q(s)}{q-1}$$

 multifractality can be measured via estimation of Rényi entropy

MULTIFRACTAL DIFFUSION ENTROPY ANALYSIS (MF-DEA)

- methods of multifractal spectrum estimation: Detrended fluctuation analysis, Wavelets, Generalized Hurst exponent, etc.
- in our case Diffusion entropy analysis method is used; it is based on self-similarity property of PDF - in monofractal case

$$p(x,t)\mathrm{d}x = rac{1}{t^{\delta}}F\left(rac{x}{t^{\delta}}
ight)\mathrm{d}x,$$

where δ is for monofractal Fractional Brownian motion equal to *H*.

► from relation for Shannon entropy (or more precisely Shannon divergence w.r.t. uniform distribution) we get

$$S(t) = -\int \mathrm{d}x \, p(x,t) \ln[p(x,t)] = A + \delta \ln t$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

MULTIFRACTAL DIFFUSION ENTROPY ANALYSIS

 in multifractal case, whole class of Rényi entropies is calculated, which gives a class of scaling exponents

 $S_q(t) = B_q + h(q) \ln t$

- Scaling function h(q) has a relation to multifractal spectrum and enables to classify multifractality
- PDF is estimated through Fluctuation collection algorithm
- ► all fluctuations over lag *s* are collected: $\tilde{x}_s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^s x_{i+t}$, and PDF $\mathcal{P}_s(t)$ is estimated

▲ロト ▲昼 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 - のへで

MULTIPLICATIVE PROCESSES

- concept of multiplicative processes is based on the idea that typical quantity of the system is self-similarly compound of itself, measured at smaller scale
- ► cascade of scales is defined as r_n = r₀ ∏ⁿ_{i=1} l_j; l_j - scale multipliers
- if typical quantity *E* is given by its density *ε*, aggregated quantity in the region Ω is measured as

$$E(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

• multiplicative cascade defines the aggregated quantity at the scale r_n as $E(r_n) = E_0 \prod_{j=1}^n M_j$

MULTIFRACTAL CASCADES

- there are many models of multiplicative cascades, both deterministic and stochastic
- our aim is to use such models that would sufficiently simulate the multiscaling nature of investigated systems
- ► this is the case of **Multifractal cascades**. A few examples:
 - ► Binomial cascade (BC) deterministic model with l_j = 1/2 and M_{•,1} = m₁, M_{•,2} = m₂, we demand m₁ + m₂ = 1 - conservative cascade
 - ► Microcanonical cascade (µCC) similar to Binomial cascade, but m₁ and m₂ are randomly shuffled (i.e. with p = 0.5 is M_{•,1} = m₁)
 - $M_{\bullet,i}$ are not independent.
 - **Canonical cascade (***CC***)** we demand the conservation on average $\sum_{i} \langle M_{\bullet,i} \rangle = 1$, hence in case of i.i.d. variables $\langle M_{j,i} \rangle = \frac{1}{l_j}$

MULTIFRACTAL CASCADE



Figure : Generation of binomial cascade

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS OF VOLATILITY AS A MULTIFRACTAL CASCADE

- method of multifractal cascades is used in order to simulate financial time series
- volatility (σ_t) is a good candidate for a cascade modeling
- ► volatility is modeled as a canonical cascade with dyadic structure with binomial distribution, normalized such that ⟨σ_t⟩ = 1
- daily returns can be modeled from the estimated volatility as $r_t = \sigma_0 \sigma_t \eta_t$, where η_t is a white noise (or more generally colored noise)
- when comparing simulated series to real data, statistical quantities as mean or variance bring us only a fraction of information about the series
- ► both series are therefore compared by MF-DEA



SIMULATED VS REAL VOLATILITY SERIES



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

MULTIFRACTAL SPECTRUM OF VOLATILITY AND COMPARISON TO RETURN SPECTRUM



< ロト < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CONCLUSIONS

- many complex systems physics, economy, etc. can be sufficiently well described by multifractals
- self-similarity of these systems can be also modeled by multiplicative cascades
- interconnection of these two concepts brings a powerful tool in modeling such systems
- ► in case of financial markets, the **volatility** can be modeled as multifractal cascade
- there are many open questions in this field that need to be answered (spectrum for volatility, relation to other methods, parameter estimation, ...)

MULTIPLICATIVE CASCADES

NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS 000

BACK TO MOUNTAINS!



Figure : 2D multiplicative smoothed cascade as a terrain model

BIBLIOGRAPHY



- B.B. Mandelbrot. Self-affine fractals and fractal dimension. *Physica Scripta*, 32:257–260, 1985.
- H.G.E. Hentschel and I. Procaccia. The infinite number of generalized dimensions of fractals and strange attractors. *Physica D*, 8(3):435 – 444, 1983.
- D Schertzer, S Lovejoy, F Schmitt, Y Chigirinskaya, and D Marsan. Multifractal cascade dynamics and turbulent intermittency. *Fractals*, 5(03):427–471, 1997.



P. Jizba and T. Arimitsu.

The world according to Rényi: thermodynamics of multifractal systems. *Annals of Physics*, 312(1):17–59, 2004.



J. Huang et al.

Multifractal diffusion entropy analysis on stock volatility in financial markets. *Physica A*, 391(22):5739 – 5745, 2012.

Thank you for your attention.