



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Theoretical Computer Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs

Note

Corrigendum: “On Brlek–Reutenauer conjecture”

L'. Balková^a, E. Pelantová^a, Š. Starosta^{b,*}^a Department of Mathematics, FNSPE, Czech Technical University in Prague, Trojanova 13, 120 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic^b Department of Applied Mathematics, FIT, Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 9, 160 00 Praha 6, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 July 2012

Received in revised form 1 September 2012

Accepted 13 September 2012

Communicated by D. Perrin

ABSTRACT

Bašić (2012) in [1] pointed to a gap in the proof of Corollary 5.10 in Balková et al. (2011) [2] related to the Brlek–Reutenauer conjecture. In this corrigendum, we correct the proof and show that the corollary remains valid.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Corrigendum

Brlek and Reutenauer conjectured that any infinite word $\mathbf{u}$ with language closed under reversal satisfies the equality $2D(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} T_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$ in which $D(\mathbf{u})$ denotes the defect of $\mathbf{u}$ and $T_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$ denotes $C_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) - C_{\mathbf{u}}(n) + 2 - P_{\mathbf{u}}(n+1) - P_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$, where $C_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{u}}$ are the factor and palindromic complexity of $\mathbf{u}$, respectively. In [2], we proved their conjecture for uniformly recurrent words. In the same paper in the section Open problems, we discussed various statements related to the Brlek–Reutenauer conjecture that can be stated for infinite words which are not uniformly recurrent. One of the statements was the following implication.

Corollary 1 (Corollary 5.10 in [2]). *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be an infinite word with the language closed under reversal. Then we have*

$$D(\mathbf{u}) < +\infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} T_n(\mathbf{u}) < +\infty.$$

For the proof of Corollary 5.10 we used Theorem 5.7.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 5.7 in [2]). *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be an infinite word with language closed under reversal and containing infinitely many palindromes. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The defect of $\mathbf{u}$ is finite.*
2. *$\mathbf{u}$ has only finitely many oddities.*
3. *There exists an integer H such that the longest palindromic suffix of any factor w of length $|w| \geq H$ occurs in w exactly once.*

In [1], the author found a counterexample to the implication 1. $\Rightarrow$ 3.

Theorem 2 stays valid if we replace 3. with the following statement:

- 3'. *There exists an integer H such that the longest palindromic suffix of any prefix w of length $|w| \geq H$ occurs in w exactly once.*

Proof. The equivalence 1. $\Leftrightarrow$ 3' follows from the fact that $D(\mathbf{u})$ is equal to the number of prefixes of $\mathbf{u}$ whose longest palindromic suffix is not unioccurent. $\square$

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.06.031>.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +420 224359875.

E-mail address: stepan.starosta@fit.cvut.cz (Š. Starosta).

Even this weaker theorem enables us to prove [Corollary 1](#) as follows.

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathbf{u}$ be an infinite word. If there exists an integer H such that any prefix p of $\mathbf{u}$ of length $|p| > H$ has a unioccurrent longest palindromic suffix, then for any factor w such that $|w| \geq H$, any factor of $\mathbf{u}$ longer than $|w|$ beginning in w or $\overline{w}$ and ending in w or $\overline{w}$, with no other occurrences of w or $\overline{w}$, is a palindrome.*

Proof. Let us show the statement by contradiction. Suppose there exists a factor $w \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $|w| \geq H$ and there exists a non-palindromic factor of $\mathbf{u}$ beginning in w or $\overline{w}$ and ending in w or $\overline{w}$, with no other occurrences of w or $\overline{w}$. Let us find the first non-palindromic factor of this form in $\mathbf{u}$ and let us denote it r . Let p be the prefix of $\mathbf{u}$ ending in the first occurrence of r in $\mathbf{u}$, i.e., $p = tr$ for some word t and r is unioccurrent in p . Denote by s the longest palindromic suffix of p . By the assumption, s is unioccurrent in p . No matter how long the suffix s is, we will obtain a contradiction.

- (1) If $|s| \leq |w|$, then we have a contradiction to the unioccurrence of s .
- (2) If $|r| > |s| > |w|$, then we can find at least 3 occurrences of w or $\overline{w}$ in r which is a contradiction to the form of r .
- (3) The equality $|r| = |s|$ contradicts the fact that we supposed r to be non-palindromic.
- (4) Finally, if $|r| < |s|$, then there is an occurrence of the mirror image of r which is a non-palindromic factor having the same properties as r which occurs before r and contradicts the choice of p . $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1. We will make use of Lemma 2.8 in [2]. Assume $D(\mathbf{u}) < +\infty$. By the implication $1. \Rightarrow 3'$ in the corrected [Theorem 2](#), there exists an integer H such that any prefix p of $\mathbf{u}$ of length $|p| > H$ has a unioccurrent longest palindromic suffix. Let us show for any $n > H$ that the assumptions of Lemma 2.8 are satisfied.

We have to show two properties of $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ for any $n > H$.

- (1) Any loop in $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ is a palindrome.
Since any loop e in $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ at a vertex $(w, \overline{w})$ is a word beginning in w or $\overline{w}$ and ending in w or $\overline{w}$, with no other occurrences of w or $\overline{w}$, the loop e is a palindrome by [Lemma 3](#).
- (2) The graph obtained from $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ by removing loops is a tree.
Or equivalently, we show that in $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ there exists a unique path between any two different vertices $(w', \overline{w'})$ and $(w'', \overline{w'')}$. Let p be a factor of $\mathbf{u}$ such that w' or $\overline{w'}$ is its prefix, w'' or $\overline{w''}$ is its suffix and p has no other occurrences of w' , $\overline{w'}$, w'' , $\overline{w''}$. Let v be a factor starting in p , ending in w' or $\overline{w'}$ and containing no other occurrences of w' or $\overline{w'}$. By [Lemma 3](#) the factor v is a palindrome, thus $\overline{p}$ is a suffix of v . It is then a direct consequence of the construction of v that the next factor with the same properties as p , i.e., representing a path in the undirected graph $G_n(\mathbf{u})$ between w' and w'' , which occurs in $\mathbf{u}$ after p , is $\overline{p}$. This shows that there is only one such path.

Consequently, Lemma 2.8 implies that $T_n(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ for any $n > H$. $\square$

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation grant GAČR 201/09/0584, by the grants MSM6840770039 and LC06002 of the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic, and by the grant of the Grant Agency of the Czech Technical University in Prague grant No. SGS11/162/OHK4/3T/14.

References

- [1] B. Bašić, A note on the paper "On Brlek–Reutenauer conjecture", Theoret. Comput. Sci. 448 (2012) 94–96.
- [2] L. Balková, E. Pelantová, Š. Starosta, On Brlek–Reutenauer conjecture, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 412 (2011) 5649–5655.